

MINUTES OF THE 131st MEETING OF THE HERITAGE CONSERVATION COMMITTEE (HCC)
HELD ON 02.45P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, JULY 12, 2023.

Sl. No	PROPOSAL	OBSERVATIONS /RECOMMENDATIONS	DECISION
1	Repair/ Renovation in respect of A-1, First floor, Hamilton House, Connaught Place.	<p>1. The proposal forwarded by the NDMC (online) was scrutinized. It included the <i>work in terms of plastering and POP punning, painting/ whitewashing, flooring/ re-flooring, false ceiling, wall panelling, electrical wiring, water proofing, plumbing works, internal wooden/glass-partitions, repairing of glass glazing/ doors.</i></p> <p>2. The proposal was scrutinized along with the comments given by the concerned local body i.e., NDMC.</p> <p>3. The Committee observed that the NDMC has forwarded the proposal for repair/renovation under clause 2.0.1(d) of the UBBL 2016 whereas a decision was taken in a meeting held between the Chief Architect, NDMC and the Member-Secretary under the Chairmanship of Chairman HCC on March 16, 2022, that:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“.....provisions, as stipulated under clause 7.26 & Annexure-II of UBBL, are applicable to the heritage areas/properties.....”</i></p> <p>4. Taking into consideration the decision as indicated above, and in the interest of work, the Committee decided to consider the proposal under clause 7.26 & Annexure-II of Unified Building Bye Laws for Delhi 2016 (UBBL), the following observations are to be complied with:</p>	Not accepted, observations given.

		<p>a) Inconsistencies observed from the list of internal repair and renovation works received from NDMC vis-a vis proposal submitted for the consideration of the HCC. It was observed that a provision of repair of existing windows facing the front façade on the first floor above have also been envisaged in the drawings but the same appears to have been found missing in the list of works for repairs/renovations forwarded by the concerned local body i.e., NDMC. Also, no photograph of the existing windows to be repaired have been provided to understand its current situation along with the methods & repair process including existing window materials, the same shall be revised & supplemented for the consideration of the HCC.</p> <p>b) It shall be ensured that windows envisaged to be repaired shall be same as per existing in terms of the design, form, material, architectural elements etc.</p> <p>c) Further, inconsistencies observed in the images (Before & After) presented for the consideration, as after images does not reflect the existing heritage building. It needs to be relooked at and revised accordingly. Corrected/Coordinated submission shall be presented for the judicious review by the HCC.</p> <p>5. Overall, due to inconsistencies and absence of a self-explanatory design scheme, it is not accepted.</p> <p>6. The architect is advised to adhere to the above observations of the Committee and furnish a pointwise compliance & reply.</p>	
--	--	---	--

2	Repair/ Renovation/ Re-construction of N-23, Ground and Mezzanine floor, Connaught Place.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal forwarded by the NDMC (online) was scrutinized. It included the <i>work in terms of plastering/cladding and patch repairs, flooring and re-flooring, whitewash/POP/paint, temporary internal partitions or wall panelling, proposed new door/window as same size, colour and texture, false ceiling, electrical work, and furniture work, toilet renovation with new fixture and tile work, replacement of soil, waste & vent pipes, temporary scaffolding work, repair staircase, shifting of rolling shutter from outside to inside of premises.</i> 2. The proposal was scrutinized along with the comments given by the concerned local body i.e., NDMC. 3. The Committee observed that the NDMC has forwarded the proposal for repair/renovation under clause 2.0.1(d) of the UBBL 2016 whereas a decision was taken in a meeting held between the Chief Architect, NDMC and the Member-Secretary under the Chairmanship of Chairman HCC on March 16, 2022, that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “.....provisions, as stipulated under clause 7.26 & Annexure-II of UBBL, are applicable to the heritage areas/properties.....” 4. Taking into consideration the decision as indicated above, and in the interest of work, the Committee decided to consider the proposal under clause 7.26 & Annexure-II of Unified Building Bye Laws for Delhi 2016 (UBBL), the following observations are to be complied with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) No changes are permitted on the external facade, which should be retained as per the original design in terms of materials specifications, design elements etc., including that of external windows, which shall not be altered. 	Accepted, observations given.
---	--	--	--------------------------------------

		<p>b) It should be ensured that the repair work be carried out on-site by skilled workers under the supervision of heritage professionals while upholding the originality of the structure in terms of its heritage character, construction, colour, form, materials etc.</p> <p>c) The Standardisation of the materials, colour scheme, colour grade, grooves, and overall originality of each element of the existing heritage structure be ensured by the NDMC.</p> <p>d) The structural safety of the building should be ensured. All original Heritage Characters should be retained in the modifications.</p> <p>e) NDMC shall ensure that the placement, size, colour, text etc. of the signage/signboards etc. be as per approved policy/guidelines prepared by NDMC for the Connaught Place area.</p>	
Additional items:			
1.	Proposal in respect of Placement of Scale Model of INS(Vikrant), MIG-21 airframe, Tank T-55 at Various locations	<p>1. The proposal forwarded by the CPWD (online) was scrutinized. In terms of the Gazette notification no. 4/2/2009/UD/1/6565 dated 1st October 2009 issued by the Department of Urban Development, Govt. of NCT of Delhi '<i>Building within the President Estate</i>' listed in the notified list at serial no. 5 are in the Grade-I.</p> <p>2. The proposal forwarded by the CPWD (online) was scrutinized, alongwith the comments received from CPWD vide its letter no. 23(01)(95)/CA (Delhi)/2023/BPAC/87-E dated 04.07.2023:</p>	Not accepted, observations given.

<p>in President's Estate.</p>	<p><i>“.....it is to state that the Hon’ble President had expressed her desire that war trophies/scale model of military platforms of the three Services be installed at Rashtrapati Bhavan. The installation of these military platforms will go a long way in motivating the visitors to Rashtrapati Bhavan and Museum....”</i></p> <p>3. Taking into consideration the comments of the local body, i.e., CPWD, and submission made, the proposal was scrutinized, and the following observations are to be complied with:</p> <p>a) The Committee observed that the proposal has been submitted for the installation of a scaled models in respect of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>i. INS (Vikrant)- Opposite to Rashtrapati Bhawan museum</i> <i>ii. MIG-21 airframe in front of Rashtrapati Bhawan Museum</i> <i>iii. Tank T-55 (Vijyant) - near gate no. 37, Arogya Vanam</i> <p>b) The Committee after consideration of the proposal accepted the location of the scaled models.</p> <p>c) However, in absence of other relevant details including location of plaque (<i>carrying information about the models</i>), pedestals holding the scaled models, their form, material specifications, plans, sections, elevations, superimposed 3D views, night time views etc., along with the landscape design scheme, if any, shall be submitted for the consideration of the design scheme.</p> <p>d) Considering the site is located in the Grade-I heritage listed premises, it is suggested that materials & design elements of the pedestal should be in harmony & integration with the existing heritage architectural form, elements,</p>	
--------------------------------------	---	--

		<p>materials, specifications etc. The character of the existing surrounding buildings shall be reflected in the proposed design scheme.</p> <p>4. The architect is advised to adhere to all the above observations given by the HCC and furnish a pointwise incorporation & reply.</p>	
--	--	--	--

(SurendraKumarBagde)
Chairman, HCC
Additional Secretary (D),
Ministry of Housing & Urban Affairs,
Government of India.

(Ruby Kaushal)
Member-Secretary, HCC